d e

Reviewer Assessment Form: Targeted Call 2021

Project Name							
NLC BREAK Room							
Lead Organization							
Northern Lights College							
Name of Reviewer							
Gordon Chan							
Email	Phone						
gordon.chan@fsc-ccf.ca	4377761067						

Conflict of Interest Verification



Conflict of Interest Verification : I have acknowledged and agreed to follow FSC-CCF's Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest Policy and I have no Conflict with this grant application.

A. Relevance

Project aligns with FSC's priorities, addresses recognized systemic challenges about future skills in Canada, and demonstrates demand for service.

A1: Alignment with FSC's strategic priorities

O Displays little or no alignment with FSC's Strategic Priorities. O Pursues FSC's Strategic Priorities, but alignment lacks clarity.

● Adequately aligns with ○ Presents outstanding FSC's Strategic Priorities. alignment with FSC's

O Presents outstanding alignment with FSC's Strategic Priorities in a way that demonstrates that FSC should not miss the opportunity to partner

with this project.

*FSC's Strategic Plan for your reference

A2: Addressing systemic challenges

O Shows little or no scope ⊙ Presents general but limited scope to address to address recognized systemic challenges about recognized systemic future skills in Canada. challenges about future skills in Canada.

O Presents clear and relevant scope to address outstanding potential to recognized systemic challenges about future skills in Canada.

O Demonstrates address recognized systemic challenges about future skills in Canada with a strong and welldefined scope that sets this project aside from other initiatives.

A3: Demand for service

O Demonstration of the demand for this service is there is some demand for that there are high levels irrelevant or poorly articulated.

O Demonstrates that this service but explanation lacks clarity.

 O Clearly demonstrates of demand for this service levels of demand for this and explains how the project adequately fulfills this demand.

O Articulates a deep understanding of the high service and makes a strong case for how providing this service is timely.

B. Innovation and Evidence

Project pursues a new way of doing things that can advance knowledge and/or is an evidence-informed model.

B1: Innovative nature

O It is not innovative. seeks funding for business as usual and, if interventions proposed applicable, is not informed are not particularly novel by evidence.

• While it is a departure from business as usual. and, if applicable, are only adequately informed by vaguely informed by evidence.

O Proposes clearly O Proposes solutions that innovative solutions and, if are without a doubt one of applicable, articulates how a kind and highly the novel interventions are innovative and, if applicable, makes a evidence. strong case for how the interventions are grounded on evidence

that is relevant and applied in a novel way.

B2: Evidence generation and new knowledge

O Presents unclear or no O Demonstrates intent to O Presents a clear and plan to generate insights generate insights and or to advance knowledge. advance knowledge that

can benefit the skills ecosystem but the plan lacks clarity.

O There are strong and adequate plan to generate well-designed strategies in insights and advance place to generate insights knowledge that will clearly and advance knowledge benefit the skills in a way that sets this ecosystem at large. project aside from other initiatives.

C. Learning

Project has already generated learning that informed the additional scope and identifies concrete problem statements and learning questions to address in the next phase.

C1: Application of learnings from current project

	O Does not demonstrate how learning generated from the current project informed additional scope	O Presents general but limited connection between learning . generated from the current project and additional scope.	● Presents a clear and relevant connection between learning generated from the current project and additional scope.	O Makes an outstanding case for how the additional scope is grounded on learning generated from the current project and expertly demonstrates ability to continue to pursue learning.		
C2: Problem statements and additional learning questions						

O Presents vague or no concrete additional learning questions.

 Somewhat defines O Clearly identifies concrete and additional additional learning learning questions but the questions that are connection between concrete and relevant to guestions and the problem address the problem statements lacks clarity. statements.

O Articulates well-defined and concrete learning questions that will without a doubt contribute to addressing the problem statements within and beyond the scope of the project.

D. Equity, diversity, and inclusion (EDI)

Project incorporates the perspectives of end-users and other stakeholders, particularly groups facing barriers, in the design and execution of the project, presents practices grounded in EDI principles, and shows potential to further EDI.

D1: Incorporation of the perspectives of end-users and other stakeholders

O Does not incorporate the perspectives of endusers and other stakeholders in the design the design and execution are involved in the design and other stakeholders, and execution of the project.

⊙ Shows that end-users and other stakeholders are somewhat involved in and other stakeholders of the project, but lacks clarity around the effective project in effective and incorporation of their perspectives.

O Presents clear evidence that end-users and execution of the relevant ways.

O There are strong and well-designed strategies in place to involve end-users demonstrating an outstanding commitment to incorporating their perspectives at every stage of the project.

D2: EDI practices & activities

O Project practices and activities do not directly support and are not

 Project practices and activities somewhat support but are only

O Project is clearly grounded in EDI policies,

O Project is clearly grounded in EDI policies, practices or activities and explicitly grounded in EDI loosely grounded in EDI practices or activities. principles. principles.

clearly demonstrates commitment to EDI and potential to be a leader in promoting EDI in the skills ecosystem.

D3: Impact on furthering EDI

O Anticipated impact on O Demonstrates intent to O Anticipated impact on furthering EDI under the further EDI under the project scope is irrelevant project scope, but or vague.

rationale lacks clarity.

furthering EDI under the project scope is clear and EDI in the field or sector relevant.

O Presents promising and strong strategies to further with potential to impact the skills ecosystem at large.

E. Capacity

The lead organization (and partners if applicable) have the skills, experience and resources to execute the project successfully and hold a good track record with FSC.

E1: Skills, experience & resources

O Project team lacks skills, experience and resources needed to execute the project.	• Project team has some of the skills, experience and resources needed to execute the project.	O Project team clearly demonstrates adequate skills, experience and resources to execute the project.	O Project team demonstrates strong skills, experience and resources to succeed in the project and to be a leader who influences the skills ecosystem.
E2: FSC track record O Presents little or no evidence of a good track record with FSC and of addressing challenges faced during the current project, indicating that the organization may struggle to manage the new projec effectively and responsibly.	indicating that the	O Presents adequate evidence of a good track record with FSC and of addressing challenges faced during the current project, indicating that the organization will manage the new project effectively and responsibly.	indicating that the

F. Coherence

Project displays a logical connection between proposed activities and project objectives with a work plan and a budget that are reasonable, appropriate and aligned.

F1: Connection between activities & objectives

O Lacks logical connection between activities and objectives. but the link lacks clarity.

O Activities and objectives O Presents a clear and are somewhat connected, logical connection between activities and objectives.

O Activities and objectives are without a doubt strongly connected in a thoughtful way.

F2: Budget

O Budget is not • Budget is somewhat reasonable, appropriate or reasonable and aligned with workplan. appropriate, but is only loosely aligned with workplan.

O Budget is clearly O Presents an reasonable, appropriate outstanding value for and aligned with workplan.money and strong alignment with workplan.

Reviewer overall recommendation

Considering the proposal as a whole, do you think FSC should fund this project as a worthwhile contribution to the skills ecosystem?

Overall Recommendation:

- O I recommend this project for funding
- ⊙ I recommend this project for funding conditional on changes and/or more information
- O I do not recommend this project for funding

Explain your reasoning for this recommendation.

This project proposes logical and concrete enhancements that have the potential to make a significant impact on their target population. The use of "participant enrollment" in training programs provides a clear measure of success and the documentation of gualitative insights during a project is promising as well. While the concept is strong, the accompanying budget and work plan are not. I would recommend greater clarity on implementation plans and validation of adequate capacity / resources to ensure the organization can execute an otherwise promising concept.

What do you think are the strongest aspects of this project?

Though the proposed concept is not especially innovative, it is built directly on the learnings of the current project and has the potential to generate meaningful impact. The availability of data through the organization's web platform and enrollment figures also provide a good foundation for evidence generation.

Where do you think the project has gaps or challenges?

The budget and work plan submitted had various issues, which made me question the applicant's capacity. The total amount requested in the budget and in the narrative form are not consistent. The work plan only allocates one week for final project reporting, while the budget allocates evaluation costs only in Year 1. More detail and clearer logic is needed.

Comments

If we move forward with this project, I recommend that we increase their audit budget. Currently, audit costs are only allocated at the end of the project, but will be needed for each year.

Please share any other comments.